

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,986	07/01/2002	Seishi Nagamori	56972 (71526)	2684
21874	7590 08/24/2006		EXAM	INER
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP			HORNING, MICHELLE S	
P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1648	
			DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/049,986	NAGAMORI, SEISHI		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Michelle Horning	1648		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address		
Period fo	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL	VIS SET TO EVOIDE 2 MO	NITH(S) OF THIRTY (20) DAVS		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a rep vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAI	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 N</u>	ovember 2005.			
-	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to t					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 21-29 is/are pending in the application	n.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) 21-28 18/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) 29 is/are objected to.				
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)⊠ acco	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
l	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	•			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	eceived in this National Stage		
* 5	application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	eceived		
		or the continue copies here			
Attaches	Wol				
Attachmen 1) Notic	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview Sur	mmary (PTO-413)		
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date		
3) ⊠ Inforr Pape	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) .		

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to communication filed 11/03/2005. Please note that this application has been transferred to another examiner and all correspondences regarding this application should be directed to Michelle Horning. The status of the claims is as follows: claims 1-20 have been cancelled and claims 21-29 are under current examination.

In view of Applicant's amendments and persuasive arguments, the following objections and rejections have been withdrawn:

- 1. objection to the specification;
- 2. objection to the drawings;
- 3. 35 USC 112, second paragraph; and
- 4. 35 USC 103.

The objection to the specification has been withdrawn following Applicant's amendment. Applicant has provided an amendment cross-noting the international application upon which this application's priority is based. The amendment provides both the international application number and the international filing.

The objection to the drawings was an incorrect objection. It is noted that the Applicant has provided the Office with courtesy copies of drawings containing numbers and characters in English. This objection is withdrawn.

In view of the revisions to the claims, all 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejections have been withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments regarding claim rejections under 35 USC 103 were considered and found to be partially persuasive. Briefly, none of the references cited in the previous 892 form are drawn to a method of viral proliferation of cells under continuously circulating medium. Further, no combination of the disclosures from the mentioned references would overcome this limitation. Thus, this rejection is withdrawn.

New Claim Rejections

35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 29 is dependent on cancelled claims 1 and 7.

35 USC 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21-26 and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Application 11/097,994 in view of Carloni et al (1993).

Art Unit: 1648

The instant application is drawn to a method for proliferating a hepatitis C virus, characterized by immobilizing human hepatocytes, preferably FLC-4 cells, onto a porous carrier. This carrier is housed within a culture vessel, more specifically a radial flow bioreactor, in which there is a continuous stream of liquid culture medium. Following immobilization of the hepatocytes, the cells are infected with the hepatitis C virus. Further, the hepatitis C virus is proliferated within a continuous stream of liquid culture medium in which this medium may be recycled or fresh medium.

The invention of US Patent Application 11/097,994 is drawn to a similar method for propagating SF-9 or other cells, including mammalian cells, which are infected with virus for proliferation (see paragraph 91). This application discloses a method comprising a bioreactor for cell culture, a vessel for culture medium and a means for culture medium circulation (see Figure 1 for bioreactor apparatus and Figure 4 for radial flow bioreactor). Cells are attached or immobilized to a semipermeable membrane, including a hollow fiber filter or porous hollow microballoons housed within the bioreactor (see paragraphs 27 and 28). Attached cells are then infected by virus "prior, during or after growth" (see paragraph 109). Of note, during growth suggests that the cells are in a continuous stream of liquid medium after infection (see paragraph 100). Further, the apparatus to which this method is drawn to can be modified to provide the cells with either new or recycled medium (see Medium Replenishment Loop 200 in Figure 1 and paragraph 120 describing the valve system).

Although this prior art reference is not specifically drawn to proliferation of hepatitis C virus in hepatocytes, it is drawn to virus propagation (see Example 3 for

Art Unit: 1648

proliferating viral influenza) in infected cells. Further, this reference is discloses the means in which pH, temperature, oxygen concentration and carbon dioxide concentration may be altered to provide optimal conditions for virus propagation in mammalian cells (paragraph 8). While this reference does not specifically disclose the optimal conditions required for hepatitis C virus in human hepatocytes or in an established hepatocyte cell line, this knowledge is well known in the art and is disclosed by Carloni et al (1993). The conditions, including the concentration of CO2, are provided in Methods. Further, Carloni et al discloses the development of a cell culture system of human fetal hepatocytes that is susceptible to hepatitis C virus (see abstract). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of US Patent Application 11/097,994 as taught by Carloni et al. The skilled artisan would have been motivated to do so to provide a means of amplifying hepatitis C virus for further study. Thus, the invention as a whole was clearly prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

Claims 23 and 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Application 11/097,994 in view of Akoi et (1998).

These claims are drawn to a method that utilizes an established human cell line, specifically, the FLC-4 cell line. While US Patent Application 11/097,994 does not disclose this specific cell line, the prior art reference by Akoi et al meets this limitation by teaching the use of the FLC-4 cell line for the successful infection of hepatitis C virus. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of US Patent Application 11/097,994 as

Application/Control Number: 10/049,986

Art Unit: 1648

986 Page 6

taught by Akoi et al. The skilled artisan would have been motivated to do so because

Akoi et al disclose that FLC-4 cells can be successfully infected with hepatitis C virus,

further leading to an increase in stability and translational efficiency of HCV RNA (see

Discussion). Thus, the invention as a whole was clearly prima facie obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made.

CONCLUSION

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle Horning whose telephone number is 571-272-9036. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 am to 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell can be reached on 571-272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 570-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for unpublished application is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR system, see htt://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at

866-217-9197 (toll-free)./

Michelle Horning Patent Examiner

BRUCE R. CAMPELL, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600